

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಆರ್. ರಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಒಂಭತ್ತು ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ-ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ (ಎ) ಮತ್ತು (ಸಿ) ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ affected schools ಮತ್ತು aided schools ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಏಡೆಡ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ಸ್ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ರಿಟೈರ್ ಆದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅದು ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿರೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾವ ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ-ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳಿಗೆ ಇದು ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿ ಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಏಡೆಡ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಕಾರದವರು ಕಾಂಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆಯೇ ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I have already said that in the case of other aided high schools, the question does not arise because the question of payment by the Government arises after the retirement of the teachers. It does not arise so far as the other aided high schools are concerned. Secondly in the case of the Aided High Schools of the type that Sri Mellow has in mind, it arises because Government is required to make annual payments.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This interpellation was sent on 24-1-1963. What is the date of reply ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I regret the delay. I am glad to say that the Department has taken action after the question has been put.

Sri P. F. D'MELLOW.—The Minister is pleased to say that if the money has not been paid, it will be paid immediately. May I be informed as to what was the nature of information that was held incomplete in answer to (d) ? It is said that "the information furnished by this Institution was incomplete."

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I cannot give the details.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In reply to (e) it is said 'Does not arise'. What is it that does not arise ? Is it the question of non-payment or payment ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Clause (e) of the question is: 'when are these payments likely to be effected ?'. That means payments might not have been effected.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಆರ್. ರಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಮೊದಲು ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ 22 ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳ ಒಂದು ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 9 ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ-ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳು ಇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದು ಹೇಗೆ ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—It itself means that.

Valuation of Timber standing in the Lands of Private Individuals in the South Coorg and North Coorg Divisions.

*Q.—825. Sri A. P. APPANNA (Virajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that in South Coorg and North Coorg Divisions of the Forest Department there are two stages of valuations of timber standing in the lands of private individuals, viz., provisional and final valuations ;

(SRI A. P. APPANNA)

(b) if so, in how many cases, the excess of valuation charges has been paid by the individuals in the provisional valuation, over and above the final valuations;

(c) out of this in how many cases the excess amounts have been refunded to the parties;

(d) if not refunded, since how long from the final valuation the excess amounts are pending payments;

(e) how long they will take to refund these excess amounts to the individuals;

(f) the reasons for the delay in making those payments?

A.—SRI B. RACHAIAH (Minister for Forests and Fisheries).—

(a) Yes.

(b) 200 in North Coorg.
150 in South Coorg.

(c) Nil.

(d) 1960.

(e) The matter is being looked into and early action will be taken.

(f) Recovery of provisional and final valuation of timber was in accordance with Notification No. A. 3. 479-R Dis. 78 55, dated 20th February 1956 of the Chief Commissioner of Coorg. This was declared invalid by Supreme Court. It was therefore found necessary to examine whether substitution of a separate provision in place of invalidated notification was necessary before refunding the amount collected under the authority of the said notification. The issue of a separate provision or otherwise is under consideration of Government. Hence there is a delay in payment.

Sri A. P. APPANNA.—What is the total amount that is due to these people?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—I want notice.

Sri A. P. APPANNA.—The Minister has been pleased to say that the Supreme Court Order has caused the delay in making this payment. Has the Supreme Court Order caused the Government to make the excess payment to the individuals?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—That is answered in (f).

Sri A. P. APPANNA.—Sir, the Supreme Court order says that there must be only one valuation and there should not be two valuations. There are cases where excess valuation has been paid. Timber is valued at two stages-provisional valuation and after it is cut and measures, final valuation. In the provisional valuation itself, Government has recovered excess money. The final valuation is less than the provisional valuation. The Supreme Court says that there must be only one valuation. How this repayment of the excess valuation is barred by the Supreme Court order, I do not understand.

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—That is why, I am looking into this matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is there any amount outstanding still?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Yes, Sir, about 200 cases.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Will the Government assure that this payment would be made at the earliest moment?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—I am looking into the matter and finding out whether there is any excess or not. Instead of publishing the notification under a particular section, notification is issued under a different section. That has been struck down by the Supreme Court. So, I am examining it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, the matter has been before the Government since four months. In how many cases Government has taken a decision as to whether there was any excess payment in the first stage or not?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Government has not agreed that there has been any excess collection. Unless I look into these matters in detail, I cannot say anything.

Sri A. P. APPANNA.—Sir, formerly there were only two valuations, provisional and final. In the provisional valuation, the Government was recovering smaller amount. Parties went to the Supreme Court saying that there could not be two valuations and the Supreme Court said, that there should be only one valuation. This is a new procedure under which excess amount has been collected. The parties have made excess payment. My timber is valued by the Government and I have paid more than what is due to them. I say that it must be returned to me; that is the position. Lakhs of rupees is due to the parties.

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Sir, this is not a new procedure adopted after integration. The notification was issued on 20th February 1956. But there has been some excess payment over the provisional estimate and whether that provisional estimate is correct or not is under examination.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the provisional valuation and the final valuation in all these cases? I want the aggregate.

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—I want notice, Sir.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—May I know where these cases are pending? Are they all pending before the Government?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—These cases are pending at various stages. All cannot be pending with the Government.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—Then, how many cases are pending with the Government?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—I want notice.

Sri S. S. KOLKEBAIL.—In view of the judgment of the Supreme Court as far back as 1960, what made the Government not repay the excess amount to the parties?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Sir, I have answered that it is not excess payment.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know whether the Department is considering the matter or the matter is referred to the Law Department as to whether the amount should be repaid?

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Sir, there are some joint family members. If they are living outside the State, they write to the Government not to distribute this excess amount. Some amount is covered by this also. In some cases, some legal point is involved and it requires some time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I presume, the earliest attention would be given to this matter

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Yes, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister for Education has to answer questions here as well as in the Upper House. So, the questions to be answered by him may be taken up early.

High Schools in Channapatna Town and Taluk.

*Q.—1298. **Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA** (Channapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of High Schools in Channapatna Town and Taluk and the strength in each of these schools ;

(b) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that for want of adequate teaching staff and accommodation facilities, much inconvenience is caused to the students ?

A.—**Sri S. R. KANTHI** (Minister for Education).—

(a)	3—(1) Government High School for Boys, Channapatna	1,631
	(2) Government High School for Girls, Channapatna	443
	(3) Government High School, Aralalsan- dra, Channapatna	184

(b) No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಜೆ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಸಾಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಎನ್ನುವ ಅರ್ಥ ಬರುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಯಾವಾಗಿನಿಂದ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

† **ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.**—ಆ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 37 ಜನ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು 41 ಜನ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದಿ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಒಬ್ಬರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೂರು ಜನ ಗ್ರಾಜ್ಜು ಯೇಟುಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಏನೂ ನಿಂತಿಲ್ಲ; ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಈಗ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಜನ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರನ್ನು ಇಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲೇ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನಿರ್ಣಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಜೆ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ಥಳಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಸಾಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈಗ ಆ ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಈಗ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡೇ ಸ್ಥಳ ಸಾಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಆಗಿದೆ. ಪಿಪ್ಪಾ ಸಿಸ್ಟಂ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ. ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಎಂಟನೆಯ ಸ್ಕಾಂಡರ್ಡ್‌ಗೆ ಎರಡರಷ್ಟು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಬಂದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಆಯಿತು, ಮುಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮದಿಯಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ನಂಬಿದ್ದಾರೆ.